Clerk of the Superior Court **JCL LAW FIRM, APC** County of Lassen Jean-Claude Lapuyade (State Bar #248676) APR 13 2023 Sydney S. Castillo-Johnson (State Bar #343881) Monnett De La Torre (State Bar #272884) 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600 San Diego, CA 92121 Telephone: (619)599-8292 Facsimile: (619) 599-8291 jlapuyade@jcl-lawfirm.com scastillo@jcl-lawfirm.com mdelatorre@jcl-lawfirm.com ZAKAY LAW GROUP, APLC Shani O. Zakay (State Bar #277924) Jackland K. Hom (State Bar #327243) Julieann Alvarado (State Bar #334727) 10 5440 Morehouse Drive, Suite 3600 San Diego, CA 92121 11 Telephone: (619)255-9047 Facsimile: (858) 404-9203 12 shani@zakaylaw.com 13 jackland@zakaylaw.com julieann@zakaylaw.com 14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 15 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 16 17 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LASSEN 18 CHRESTENSEN: **NATALIE** DELORIS Case No. 63703 RIDDLE, individuals, on behalf of themselves 19 and on behalf of all persons similary situated, PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL 20 Plaintiffs, v. Date: April 13, 2023 21 10:00 a.m. Time: **NORTHEASTERN** RURAL **HEALTH** 22 CLINICS, a California Corporation; and DOES Hon. Leonard J. La Casse Judge: 1-50, Inclusive, Dept.: 23 Defendants. FILE 24 **BY FAX** 25 26 27 28

FINAL APPROVAL ORDER

Plaintiffs' motion for an order finally approving the Stipulation of Settlement of Class Action and PAGA Claims and Release of Claims ("Agreement") and Motion for Class Counsel Award and Class Representative Service Awards duly came on for hearing on April 13, 2023, before the above-entitled Court. Zakay Law Group, APLC and the JCL Law Firm, APC appeared on behalf of Plaintiffs NATALIE CHRESTENSEN and DELORIS RIDDLE ("Plaintiffs"). Boutin Jones, Inc. appeared on behalf of Defendant NORTHEASTERN RURAL HEALTH CLINICS. (hereinafter "Defendant").

I.

FINDINGS

Based on the oral and written argument and evidence presented in connection with the motion, the Court makes the following findings:

- 1. All capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Agreement.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation pending in the California Superior Court for the County of Lassen ("Court"), Case No. 63703, entitled Chrestensen v. Northeastern Rural Health Clinics, and over all Parties to this litigation, including the Class.

Preliminary Approval of the Settlement

3. On December 8, 2022, the Court granted preliminary approval of a class-wide settlement. At this same time, the court approved certification of a provisional settlement class for settlement purposes only. The Court confirms this Order and finally approves the settlement and the certification of the Class.

Notice to the Class

4. In compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order, the Notice Packet was mailed by first class mail to the Class Members at their last known addresses on January 12, 2023. Mailing of the Notice Packet to their last known addresses was the best notice practicable under the circumstances and was reasonably calculated to communicate actual notice of the litigation and the

proposed settlement to the members of the Class Members. The Court finds that the Notice Packet

provided fully satisfies the requirements of California Rules of Court, rule 3.769.

8. The Agreement is finally approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members.

Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses

- 9. The Agreement provides for a Class Counsel Award in the amount of up to One Hundred and Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$110,000.00). Subject to Court approval, the Class Counsel Award consists of attorneys' fees equal to one-third (1/3) of the Gross Settlement Amount, or Ninety Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$90,000.00) and reimbursement of costs and expenses in the amount of Eleven Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Four Dollars and Eighty-Two Cents (\$11,164.82).
- 10. A Class Counsel Award of One Hundred One Thousand One Hundred and Sixty-Four Dollars and Eighty-Two Cents (\$101,164.82) comprised of attorneys' fees in the amount of Ninety Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$90,000.00) and reimbursement of actually incurred costs and expenses in the amount of Eleven Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Four Dollars and Eighty-Two Cents (\$11,164.82) is reasonable in light of the contingent nature of Class Counsel's fee, the hours worked by Class Counsel, and the results achieved by Class Counsel. The requested attorneys' fee award represents 1/3 of the common fund, which is reasonable and at the low end of the range for fee awards in common fund cases and is supported by Class Counsel's lodestar.

Class Representative Service Awards

Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$15,000) comprised of up to Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$10,000.00) to Plaintiff Chrestensen, and up to Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$5,000.00) to Plaintiff Riddle, subject to the Court's approval. The Court finds that the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$15,000.00), comprised of Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$10,000.00) to Plaintiff Chrestensen, and Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$5,000.00) to Plaintiff Riddle is reasonable in light of the risks and burdens undertaken by the Plaintiffs in this class action litigation.

Settlement Administration Costs

2	an amount not to exceed \$8,500.00. The Declaration of the Settlement Administrator provides that
3	the actual claims administration expenses were \$8,500.00. The amount of this payment is
4	reasonable in light of the work performed by the Settlement Administrator.
5	п.
6	<u>ORDERS</u>
7	Based on the foregoing findings, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:
8	1. The Class is certified for the purposes of settlement only. The Settlement
9	Class is hereby defined to include all employees who are or previously were employed by Defendant
10	in California and who were classified as non-exempt employees ("Class") during the period from
11	June 24, 2017 to September 13, 2022 ("Class Period").
12	2. Every person in the Class who did not submit and timely and validly Request
13	for Exclusion is a Settlement Class Member. There were zero Requests for Exclusion submitted by
14	the Class Members.
15	3. The Agreement is hereby approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the
16	best interest of the Class. The Parties are ordered to effectuate the Settlement in accordance with
17	this Order and the terms of the Agreement.
18	4. Class Counsel are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount One Hundred One
19	Thousand One Hundred and Sixty-Four Dollars and Eighty-Two Cents (\$101,164.82) for the Class
20	Counsel Award comprised of one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount, or Ninety Thousand
21	Dollars and Zero Cents (\$90,000.00) and litigation expenses in the amount of Eleven Thousand One
22	Hundred Sixty-Four Dollars and Eighty-Two Cents (\$11,164.82). Class Counsel shall not seek or
23	obtain any other compensation or reimbursement from Defendant, Plaintiffs, or members of the
24	Class.
25	5. The payment of the Class Representative Service Awards to the Plaintiffs in
26	the amount of \$15,000.00, comprised of \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff Chrestensen and \$5,000.00 to
27	Plaintiff Riddle is approved.
28	

The Agreement provides for Settlement Administration Costs to be paid in

12.

- 6. The payment of \$8,500.00 to the Settlement Administrator for Settlement Administration Costs is approved.
- 7. The PAGA Settlement of \$10,000.00 is hereby approved as fair, reasonable, adequate and adequately protects the interests of the public and the LWDA. Further, the Court finds that Plaintiffs and Class Counsel negotiated the PAGA Settlement at arms-length, absent of any fraud or collusion.
- 8. Final Judgment is hereby entered in this action. The Final Judgment shall bind each Settlement Class Member. The Final Judgment shall operate as a full release and discharge of Defendant from all class claims alleged or that could have been alleged based on the factual allegations in the operative complaint in the Action and Plaintiff Chrestensen's PAGA letter, which occurred during the Class Period, and expressly excluding claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, and class claims outside of the Class Period, and excluding claims for PAGA penalties, which are separately released. To the extent employees are required to "opt-in" to have this release be deemed effective under federal law, the acceptance and negotiation of any settlement check shall be deemed effective for that purpose.
- 9. Final Judgment shall also bind Plaintiffs, acting on behalf of the State of California and all PAGA Members, pursuant to the California Labor Code Private Attorneys' General Act ("PAGA") and shall release Defendant from all PAGA claims alleged or that could have been brought based on the factual allegations in the operative complaint in the Action and Plaintiff Chrestensen's PAGA Letter, which occurred during the PAGA Period, and expressly excluding claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, and PAGA claims outside of the PAGA Period.
- 10. The term "PAGA Members" is hereby defined as all employees who are or previously were employed by Defendant in California and who were classified as non-exempt employees during the PAGA Period. The PAGA Period means the period between April 19, 2020 to September 13, 2022.

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

- 11. In addition to the release given by each Settlement Class Member, Plaintiffs also generally release Defendant from any and all claims as set forth in the General Release by Plaintiffs in the Agreement.
- The Agreement is not an admission by Defendant, nor is this Final Approval 12. Order and Judgment, a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant. Neither this Final Approval Order, the Settlement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing, or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant and shall not be offered in evidence in any action or proceeding against Defendant in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose as an admission whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Settlement, or any related agreement or release. Notwithstanding these restrictions, any of the Parties may file in the Action or in any other proceeding this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the claims being released by the Settlement.
- 13. Notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be given to Class Counsel on behalf of Plaintiffs and all Class Members. It shall not be necessary to send notice of entry of this Final Approval Order and Judgment to individual Class Members and the Final Approval Order and Judgment shall be posted on Settlement Administrator's website as indicated in the Notice Packet.
- 14. After entry of Final Judgment, the Court shall retain jurisdiction to construe, interpret, implement, and enforce the Settlement, to hear and resolve any contested challenge to a claim for settlement benefits, and to supervise and adjudicate any dispute arising from or in connection with the distribution of settlement benefits.

1	15. If the Settlement does not become final and effective in accordance with the
2	terms of the Settlement, resulting in the return and/or retention of the Gross Settlement Amount to
3	Defendant consistent with the terms of the Settlement, then this Final Approval Order and Judgment,
4	and all orders entered in connection herewith shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated.
5	///
6	///
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	DATED: APR 1 3 2023 , 2023
9	
10	Louis d'alasse
11	Hon. Leonard J. La Casse
12	Judge, Superior Court for the State of California, County of Lassen
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

RECEIVED

MAR 20 2023

Clerk of the Superior Court
County of Lassen
Deputy Clerk